# Heterodyne Frequency Measurements on N<sub>2</sub>O near 930 cm<sup>-1</sup>

## A. G. MAKI

Molecular Spectroscopy Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 80899

#### AND

## J. S. WELLS AND M. D. VANEK

Time and Frequency Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado 80303

Heterodyne frequency measurements have been made on the first hot band accompanying the  $N_2O$  laser transitions in the region from 896 to 955 cm $^{-1}$ . These measurements tie the upper state energy level at 2798 cm $^{-1}$  to the other low-lying energy levels of  $N_2O$  by measurements referred to the cesium frequency standard and thereby provide frequency calibration in the 2740 to 2840 cm $^{-1}$  region. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.

#### INTRODUCTION

Whitford et al. (1) have measured the frequencies of 33 nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) laser transitions from 925 to 970 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Measurement accuracies of 60 kHz were achieved through the use of saturated absorption techniques. Those measurements were later combined with other heterodyne measurements (2-4) to provide a chain of frequency measurements that would tie the upper energy level ( $10^{\circ}0$ )<sup>1</sup> at 2224 cm<sup>-1</sup> to the other energy levels of  $N_2O$  by measurements referable to the cesium frequency standard.

We have recently been involved in improving the accuracy of calibration data available throughout the infrared and have been particularly concerned with tying together all the lower rovibrational states of  $N_2O$  by measurements referred to the cesium frequency standard. We now have 12 heterodyne frequency measurements of the  $11^10-01^11$  transition of  $N_2O$  near 930 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These new measurements have been combined with other frequency measurements (4, 6) to give calibration frequencies for the  $11^10-00^00$  transition near 2798 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The technique used for the 12 new heterodyne measurements is essentially that described at the inception of this measurement program (7). The radiation from a tunable diode laser (TDL) whose frequency was locked to the N<sub>2</sub>O feature of interest was heterodyned with the output of a CO<sub>2</sub> laser frequency standard, which was stabilized by the Freed-Javan technique (8). We list in Table I the difference frequency for each

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The vibrational numbering system adopted by the IAU-IUPAP joint commission on spectroscopy (5) is used throughout this paper. Most other authors use a notation that interchanges  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ .

measurement and the corresponding  $CO_2$  laser transition. Both  $^{12}C^{16}O_2$  and  $^{13}C^{16}O_2$  lasers were used. The  $^{12}C^{16}O_2$  laser frequencies were taken from the latest work by Petersen *et al.* (9) and the  $^{13}C^{16}O_2$  values came from the most recent work at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (10). Combining the heterodyne difference frequency with the appropriate  $CO_2$  laser frequency produced the values for the measured  $N_2O$  transition frequencies given in Table I. New heterodyne frequency measurements on the  $10^{0}O-00^{0}1$  band (designated the A band) are also given in Table I. The Obs. — Calc. (observed minus calculated) column in Table I shows that all residuals fall within our specified uncertainty.

The measurements were made at a pressure of 667 Pa (5 Torr) of  $N_2O$  in a 1.7 m long absorption cell. The cell was elevated to a temperature of 377 K.

#### ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

The upper state constants given by Amiot and Guelachvili (11) and the lower state constants given by Vanek et al. (4) were used to calculate and assign the  $11^{10}$ – $01^{11}$  transitions. In addition the  $10^{00}$ – $00^{01}$  transitions were assigned by using the tables given by Whitford et al. (1).

Both the 11<sup>1</sup>0-01<sup>1</sup>1 and 10<sup>0</sup>0-00<sup>0</sup>1 transitions were fitted by using the usual energy level expression,

$$E(v, l, J) = G(v, l) + B_v J(J+1) - D_v [J(J+1) - l^2]^2 + H_v [J(J+1) - l^2]^3 + L_v [J(J+1) - l^2]^4 + \cdots,$$
 (1)

 $TABLE \ I$  Heterodyne Frequency Measurements on  $N_2O$  near 930 cm  $^{-1}$ 

CO <sub>2</sub> Lá	aser		Nitrous Oxide		
Trans.	νηνο -νοςο (MHz)	Trans.ª	Frequency (MHz)	ObsCalc (MHz)	
<sup>3</sup> P <sub>T</sub> (20) <sup>b</sup>	1065.6	P(24)F	26889735.4(60)°	4.7	
1 . ,	3003.3	P(46)A	26891673.1(50)	3.5	
	4583.6	P(24)E	26893253.4(50)	1.3	
$^{3}P_{T}(6)$	-2223.2	P(34)A	27239172.6(50)	2.6	
•	-1571.9	P(11)F	27239823.9(80)	2.0	
	-538.4	P(11)E	27240857.4(50)	1.7	
$P_{I}(34)$	-2590.7	R(15)F	27908130.1(30)	0.6	
_	-2383.9	R(15)E	27908336.9(30)	1.2	
$^{3}R_{I}(32)$	-3490.0	R(22)F	28068189.7(30)	-1,3	
	-2537.6	R(22)E	28069142.1(30)	-1.2	
	-921.7	P(3)A	28070758.0(30)	-0.6	
$^{3}R_{I}(42)$	-3099.8	R(30)F	28244287.3(40)	-3.8	
_	-2217.5	R(3)A	28245169.6(40)	-1.3	
	-768.9	R(30)E	28246618.2(40)	0.5	
P <sub>I</sub> (16)	383.8	R(38)F	28412973.5(70)	0.6	
	1981.5	R(10)A	28414571.2(70)	-4.8	
P <sub>I</sub> (8)	-3412.0	R(48)F	28613129.8(90)	-1.3	
$R_{I}(44)$	655.5	R(71)A	29669511.3(100)	-2.3	

a) The band definitions are as follows; A  $\equiv$   $10^{0}\,0\text{-}00^{0}\,1$ , E  $\equiv$   $11^{1\,e}\,0\text{-}01^{1\,e}\,1$ , and F  $\equiv$   $11^{1\,f}\,0\text{-}01^{1\,f}\,1$ .

b) The left 3 superscript indicates the  $^{13}\mathrm{C}^{16}\mathrm{O}_2$  isotope, otherwise  $^{12}\mathrm{C}^{16}\mathrm{O}_2$  is used.

c) The uncertainty in the last digits is given in parentheses.

TABLE II					
Band Centers Determined from Heterodyne Measurements on N <sub>2</sub> O					

Vib. Transitions	$\nu_0  (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$		
1110-0111	918.026771(62)		
11 <sup>1</sup> 0-00 <sup>0</sup> 0	2798.292487(94)		
01 <sup>1</sup> 1-00 <sup>0</sup> 0	1880.265717(70)		
1000-001	938.8534038(8)		

a) The uncertainty in the last digits (twice the standard error) is given in parentheses.

with the band center given by

$$\nu_0 = G(v', l') - G(v'', l''). \tag{2}$$

When l = 1 the l-type doubling was taken into account by substituting for  $B_v$  in Eq. (1) the expression

$$B_v \pm 0.5[q_v - q_{vJ}J(J+1) + q_{vJJ}J^2(J+1)^2].$$

In this expression the upper sign (+) was used for the f levels while the lower sign (-) was used for the e levels.

Since the goal of this work was to provide the best estimate of the frequencies and uncertainties of  $N_2O$  transitions that might be used for calibration in many different frequency regions, the present frequency measurements were combined with many other frequency measurements in a large least-squares fit that gave the rovibrational constants needed to calculate the appropriate transitions and the variance–covariance matrix elements needed to calculate the uncertainties in the transitions. The resulting band centers are given in Table II and the rovibrational constants are given in Table III.

TABLE III Rotational Constants (in cm $^{-1}$ ) Determined for N<sub>2</sub>O

$B_{\mathbf{v}}(MHz)$	D <sub>v</sub> (kHz)	H <sub>v</sub> (mHz)	$L_{v}(\mu Hz)$
12561.63395(19)ª	5.27915(24)	-0.4921(276)	
12508.99224(28)	5.17354(33)	3.399(58)	0.1327(38)
12528.87971(70)	5.19709(75)	4,227(178)	0.0862(143)
12458,16082(24)	5,26046(26)	-0.400(29)	
12476.13619(113)	5.34222(65)	-0.156(82)	
q <sub>v</sub> (MHz)	q <sub>vJ</sub> (kHz)	q <sub>vJJ</sub> (mHz)	
27.23272(69)	-0.08613(46)	3.327(45)	
23.29882(66)	0.03603(26)		
	12561.63395(19)* 12508.99224(28) 12528.87971(70) 12458.16082(24) 12476.13619(113)  q <sub>v</sub> (MHz) 27.23272(69)	12561.63395(19)* 5.27915(24) 12508.99224(28) 5.17354(33) 12528.87971(70) 5.19709(75) 12458.16082(24) 5.26046(26) 12476.13619(113) 5.34222(65)  q <sub>v</sub> (MHz) q <sub>vJ</sub> (kHz)  27.23272(69) -0.08613(46)	12561.63395(19)* 5.27915(24) -0.4921(276) 12508.99224(28) 5.17354(33) 3.399(58) 12528.87971(70) 5.19709(75) 4.227(178) 12458.16082(24) 5.26046(26) -0.400(29) 12476.13619(113) 5.34222(65) -0.156(82)  q <sub>v</sub> (MHz) q <sub>v,J</sub> (kHz) q <sub>v,J,J</sub> (mHz)  27.23272(69) -0.08613(46) 3.327(45)

a) The uncertainty in the last digits (twice the standard error) is given in parentheses.

#### DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

At the same time that measurements were made on the  $11^10-01^11$  transitions, measurements were also made on the  $10^00-00^01$  transitions even though they had already been measured more accurately by Whitford *et al.* (1). These measurements were considered to be primarily a test of our measurement techniques to ensure that there are no hidden systematic errors. In a preliminary test fit the present measurements on the  $10^00-00^01$  band were fitted to a different band center than the measurements of Whitford *et al.* (1), but the same rovibrational constants were used for the two sets of measurements. That test fit gave a band center that was  $0.000004 \, \text{cm}^{-1}(0.11 \, \text{MHz})$  lower than that given by the saturated absorption measurements of Whitford *et al.* The agreement with the earlier more accurate work is taken as evidence that the measurements on the  $11^10-01^11$  band are as accurate as the statistical analysis indicates. This also indicates that the pressure shift in the present measurements is at least as

TABLE IV  $Wavenumbers \ (in \ cm^{-1}) \ Calculated \ for \ the \ 11^{1e}0-00^{0}0 \ Band \ of \ N_{2}O$ 

P-Branch J	711				
	,	R-Branch	P-Branch	J''	R-Branch
	0	2799.12403(09)a	2765.13406(09)	35	2824.10992(09)
	1	2799.94908(09)	2764.07164(09)	36	2824.70506(09)
2796.60997(09)	2	2800.76765(09)	2763.00287(09)	37	2825.29354(09)
2795.75900(09)	3	2801.57973(09)	2761.92775(09)	38	2825.87534(09)
2794.90156(09)	4	2802.38530(09)	2760.84629(09)	39	2826.45048(10)
2794.03766(09)	5	2803.18438(09)	2759,75849(09)	40	2827.01894(10)
2793.16730(09)	6	2803.97695(09)	2758.66435(10)	41	2827.58071(10)
2792.29049(09)	7	2804.76301(09)	2757.56387(10)	42	2828.13580(10)
2791.40722(09)	8	2805.54255(09)	2756.45706(10)	43	2828.68419(10)
2790.51751(09)	9	2806.31557(09)	2755.34392(10)	44	2829.22588(10)
2789.62135(09) 1	10	2807.08207(09)	2754.22445(10)	45	2829.76086(10)
2788.71875(09) 1	11	2807.84204(09)	2753.09866(10)	46	2830.28913(10)
2787.80972(09) 1	12	2808.59547(09)	2751.96654(10)	47	2830.81068(10)
2786.89425(09) 1	13	2809.34237(09)	2750.82811(10)	48	2831.32551(10)
2785.97236(09) 1	14	2810.08272(09)	2749.68336(10)	49	2831.83361(11)
2785.04404(09) 1	15	2810.81653(09)	2748.53230(11)	50	2832.33497(11)
2784.10930(09) 1	16	2811.54378(09)	2747.37493(11)	51	2832.82959(11)
2783.16815(09) 1	17	2812.26448(09)	2746.21125(11)	52	2833.31746(11)
2782.22058(09) 1	18	2812.97861(09)	2745.04126(11)	53	2833.79858(12)
2781.26660(09) 1	19	2813.68618(09)	2743.86497(11)	54	2834.27295(12)
2780.30622(09) 2	20	2814.38717(09)	2742.68238(12)	55	2834.74054(12)
2779.33943(09) 2	21	2815.08158(09)	2741.49350(12)	56	2835.20137(13)
	22	2815.76942(09)	2740.29831(12)	57	2835.65541(13)
2777.38667(09) 2	23	2816.45066(09)	2739.09683(13)	58	2836.10268(13)
	24	2817.12532(09)	2737.88907(13)	59	2836.54315(14)
2775.40833(09) 2	25	2817.79337(09)	2736.67501(14)	60	2836.97683(14)
2774.40958(09) 2	26	2818.45483(09)	2735.45467(14)	61	2837.40370(15)
	27	2819.10967(09)	2734.22804(14)	62	2837.82377(15)
	28	2819.75791(09)	2732.99514(15)	63	2838.23702(16)
	29	2820.39952(09)	2731.75595(15)	64	2838.64346(16)
	30	2821.03451(09)	2730.51049(16)	65	2839.04306(17)
	31	2821.66287(09)	2729.25876(17)	66	2839.43584(18)
	32	2822.28460(09)	2728.00075(17)	67	2839.82177(18)
	33	2822.89969(09)	2726.73647(18)	68	2840.20086(19)
	34	2823.50813(09)	2725.46593(19)	69	2840.57310(20)

a) The estimated uncertainty in the last digits (twice the standard error) is given in parentheses.

small as the uncertainty in the measurements. We expect that the pressure shift for  $N_2O$  at 667 Pa (5 Torr) is negative and on the order of 1 to 2 MHz.

The present results were used to calculate the transitions (given in Table IV) for the 2798 cm<sup>-1</sup> band (11<sup>1</sup>0-00<sup>0</sup>0). The FTS values given by Guelachvili and Rao (12) for that band show a systematic difference of 0.0006 cm<sup>-1</sup> (18 MHz) from the present results. The earlier FTS measurements were too high. This agrees with a trend that was observed earlier (13, 14) and probably arises from a systematic error in the CO calibration that was used for the FTS measurements.

The need for  $L_v$  terms for both the  $00^{0}1$  and  $01^{1}1$  states is not unexpected since those states exhibit a weak Fermi resonance with the  $02^{0}0$  and  $03^{1}0$  states respectively. The unusually large  $H_v$  terms (nearly ten times the ground state value) are another indication of the effect of the Fermi resonance.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported in part by the NASA Office of Upper Atmospheric Research.

RECEIVED: June 22, 1989

#### REFERENCES

- I. B. G. WHITFORD, K. J. SIEMSEN, H. D. RICCIUS, AND G. R. HANES, Opt. Commun. 14, 70-74 (1975).
- 2. J. S. WELLS, A. HINZ, AND A. G. MAKI, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 114, 84-96 (1985).
- 3. L. R. ZINK, J. S. WELLS, AND A. G. MAKI, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 123, 426-433 (1987).
- 4. M. D. VANEK, M. SCHNEIDER, J. S. WELLS, AND A. G. MAKI, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 134, 154-158 (1989).
- 5. R. S. MULLIKEN, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1997-2011 (1955).
- J. S. WELLS, D. A. JENNINGS, A. HINZ, J. S. MURRAY, AND A. G. MAKI, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B: Opt. Phys. 2, 857-861 (1985).
- 7. J. S. Wells, F. R. Petersen, and A. G. Maki, Appl. Opt. 18, 3567-3573 (1979).
- 8. C. FREED AND A. JAVAN, Appl. Opt. Lett. 17, 53-56 (1970).
- 9. F. R. PETERSEN, E. C. BEATY, AND C. R. POLLOCK, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 102, 112-122 (1983).
- 10. L. C. Bradley, K. L. Soohoo, and C. Freed, IEEE J. Quant. Elect. OE-22, 234-267 (1986).
- 11. C. AMIOT AND G. GUELACHVILI, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 59, 171-190 (1976).
- G. GUELACHVILI AND K. NARAHARI RAO, "Handbook of Infrared Standards," Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986.
- C. R. POLLOCK, F. R. PETERSEN, D. A. JENNINGS, J. S. WELLS, AND A. G. MAKI, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 107, 62–71 (1984).
- 14. L. R. BROWN AND R. TOTH, J. Opt. Soc. Amer. B: Opt. Phys. 2, 842-856 (1985).